

Microwave Beam-Driven Sail Flight Experiments

James Benford¹, Gregory Benford², Keith Goodfellow, Raul Perez, Henry Harris³,
and Timothy Knowles⁴

¹ *Microwave Sciences Inc. 1041 Los Arabis Lane, Lafayette, CA 94549*
925-283-8454, jbenford@earthlink.net

² *Abbenford, Inc. 1105 Skyline Dr., Laguna Beach, CA 92651*

³ *Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 4800 Oak Grove Dr., Pasadena CA 91109*

⁴ *Energy Science Laboratories Inc., 6888 Nancy Ridge Dr., San Diego, CA 92121*

Abstract. We have observed flight of ultralight sails of Carbon-Carbon microtruss material at several gees acceleration. To propel the material we sent a 10 kW, 7 GHz beam into a 10^{-6} Torr vacuum chamber and onto sails of mass density 5-10 g/m². At microwave power densities of ~kW/cm² we saw upward accelerations of several gees and flights of up to 60 cm. Sails so accelerated reached >2000 K from microwave absorption, a capability of carbon which rules out most materials for high acceleration missions. Diagnostics were optical and IR video photography, reflected microwave power and residual gas analysis. Data analysis and comparison with candidate acceleration mechanisms shows that photonic pressure can account for 3 to 30% of the observed acceleration, so another cause must be present. The most plausible explanation for the observed accelerations is evaporation of absorbed molecules. In the future, microwave-driven acceleration might be used to propel probes to very high speeds for science missions to the outer solar system, the interstellar region and the nearby stars.

MICROWAVE-DRIVEN SAIL FUNDAMENTALS

The microwave-driven sail was first proposed by Robert Forward as an extension of his laser-driven sail concept (Forward, 1984,1985). No experiments and no sail flights by any method, including laser and solar photon pressure have been done previously. The essential reason for this is the lack of a material which could allow liftoff under one earth gravity. The invention of strong and light carbon material has made sail flight possible, because carbon sublimates instead of melting, so can operate at very high temperature. This is because acceleration is strongly temperature limited.

The *acceleration from photon momentum* produced by a power P on a thin film of mass m and area A is

$$a = [\eta+1] P/MA c \quad (1)$$

where η is the reflectivity of the film of absorbtivity α , M is the mass per unit area ($m=MA$) and c is the speed of light. (The carbon fiber sail material we use has ~1% transmissivity.) Of the power incident on the film a fraction αP will be absorbed. In steady state, [which will be achieved in ~3 ms for 1 mm thickness sail] this must be radiated away from both sides of the film (which may be of different temperatures) which we describe with an average temperature T and emissivity ϵ by the Stefan-Boltzmann law

$$\alpha P = 2A \epsilon \sigma T^4 \quad (2)$$

where σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. Eliminating P and A, the sail acceleration is

$$a = [2 \sigma/c] \{ \epsilon (\eta+1) / \alpha \} (T^4/M) = 2.27 \times 10^{-15} [\epsilon (\eta+1)/\alpha] T^4/M \quad (3)$$

where we have grouped constants and material radiative properties separately. *Clearly the acceleration is strongly temperature limited.* This fact means that all materials considered previously in the literature (Al, Be, Nb, etc.) cannot be used for liftoff on earth, which requires acceleration greater than one gravity.

Another mechanism is *acceleration from sublimation pressure* due to mass ejected from the material downward to force the sail upward:

$$F = v_T \, dm/dt \quad (4)$$

where v_T is the thermal speed of the evaporated carbon. The magnitude of this effect can vastly exceed the microwave photon pressure if the temperature is high enough. The essential factor is that this force must be *asymmetric*, i. e., if the sail is isothermal there is no net thrust. Thermal analysis shows that the skin effect produces a substantial temperature difference between front and back of our sails. Of course, for such thin material thermal conduction reduces such differences greatly.

SAIL MATERIAL—MICROTRUSS CARBON

Our carbon sails microtruss fabric 3-D architecture resembles a truss structure made of short discontinuous discrete carbon fibers with facings of long discontinuous discrete carbon fiber. Bonding the points where two fibers touch (“nodes”) rigidizes the sail. The resulting sail material is stiff and lightweight, strong, conductive and creep-resistant. Most important, it is capable of high temperatures well above 2000 K, meaning sails of C-C could fly at high acceleration.

We measured the microwave properties of the C-C microtruss in a waveguide, so that the sail intercepted the wave completely. We measured the power transmitted through and reflected from the material and deduced the power absorbed. The result is very good: near-total 90% reflection, very low transmission (<1%), ~10% absorption (Figure 1).

From ESLI observations the sheet resistance of sail material to DC is ~ 10 ohms. From the model of EM wave interaction with thin films of Cravey³ et. al., the microwave properties vary with sheet resistance. It predicts 90% reflection, 10% absorption for 10 ohms. So our experimental measurement of ~90% reflectivity at ~10 ohms fits this model very well.

EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

The configuration of the experiment is shown in Figure 2. An existing 25 kW X-band (7.1675 GHz) transmitter, using a Varian model VA-876 klystron, is connected by a waveguide to a water-cooled, stainless steel vacuum chamber with an internal diameter of 1.2 m and a length of 2.1 m. The chamber is pumped by a cryogenic-pump (10 inch) and a turbo-molecular pump (12 inch) backed up by Roots, Stokes and mechanical pumps in series. Heaters attached to the interior walls of the chamber bake out the chamber prior to the sail tests. The base pressure for the chamber is 2×10^{-7} Torr. The tube operates continuously, but for these experiments typically were pulsed for 0.2 sec. Safety requirements meant we had to place screens on all windows to avoid microwave leakage. Overhead silicon carbide absorbers reduce microwave reflections

The waveguide (WR-137 transitioning to WR-112) makes several turns and ends oriented vertically on the centerline of the chamber. We did not flare the waveguide into a horn because that would reduce the power density. The launcher geometry was a sail suspended over the waveguide. The sail had a grommet on axis which allowed it to slide on the support rod made of either carbon or alumina tube. This launcher geometry can be seen in some of the camera frames in Figure 3.

ESL Carbon Sail Material Free - Space Characteristics 10.1 gm/m²




FIGURE 1. Microwave Properties of C-C Sail Material Measured in Waveguide.

The pattern of the microwave beam was that of an open-ended waveguide. The angular distribution is given by $\cos^m \theta$, with m varying between 1 and 2.5 in the plane perpendicular to the vertical axis. We measured the profile by suspending Kapton sheet above the waveguide and heating it with microwaves. The overhead IR pyrometer/camera gave an image of the beam with ~1mm resolution, which showed that it was smooth and azimuthally symmetric. A line scan across the beam profile also showed the expected and predicted shape.

FLIGHT OF SAILS

The most interesting aspect of the experiment is that the microwave beam lifted and flew sails in the chamber. Figure 3 shows four frames at 30 frames/sec; the data are given in Benford (2000). We saw the sail fly rapidly upward and strike the top of the chamber (55 cm). The video shows: Frame 1: quiescent sail, frame 2: sail lights up from microwave heating, frame 3: sail tilts, moves vertically 0.2 cm, velocity is 0.06 m/s (from framing interval), frame 4: sail has left the frame by moving at least 3 cm. Minimum velocity is $3 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ frames/sec} = 0.9 \text{ m/s}$, minimum acceleration $a = 0.9 \times 30 \text{ frames/sec} = 0.29 \text{ m/s}^2$. From the minimum height of 0.55 m, kinematics gives $v = [2gh]^{1/2} = 3.28 \text{ m/s}$, and from the framing interval $a = 98.5 \text{ m/s}^2$.

To summarize the flights, we see velocities from 0.3 m/s to 4.08 m/s, total accelerations from 10.9 m/s^2 to 132.3 m/s^2 , or 1.1 gees to 13.5 gees. Many of these are lower bounds established by the framing interval of the camera. Temperature of the sails varies from 1700 K to 2300 K.




FIGURE 2 Schematic of the Apparatus.

INTREPRETATION OF PROPULSION MECHANISMS

We have analyzed two potential causes of the flights in detail and analyzed several others briefly. We conclude that both microwave photon pressure and asymmetric carbon sublimation pressure are viable mechanisms for explaining the data. But both fall short in explaining the data quantitatively. We have also concluded that neither asymmetric out-gassing nor electrostatics are credible mechanisms.

Photon pressure must of course be occurring. However, to fit the observations, it must be enhanced by a factor of 3 to 30. Additional lift could come from wave energy reflected by the sail and again by the microwave waveguide. To test for such resonance amplification of microwave power density in the sail region, we measured the effective cavity Q between the sail and the waveguide, and found it to be essentially unity. In the experiments, two disks of Al and strong microwave absorber were alternately positioned over the waveguide end. With 200 W emitted from the waveguide, we measured the power passing through a 3 mm hole at each disk center. We compared the effective number of “bounces” a photon made between disk and waveguide in the two configurations. An absorber should prevent reflection, while a metal plate would maximize it. Yet the fluxes were the same. This means there was no amplification of the photonic flux in the geometry of the flight experiments.

The most plausible remaining explanation for accelerations greater than gravity is some sublimation of carbon atoms from the sails. However, we do not measure sail temperatures at which such carbon sublimation should occur: Analysis shows the sublimation rates at these temperatures don't give thrusts sufficient to explain the observed acceleration. There are hot spots visible in the carbon sails, seen by eye and videotape. We have speculated that there is a portion of the fibers, which have locally high resistivity, perhaps at the joins of fibers, which increases dissipation of the microwave-driven currents flowing through them. The mass fraction of these resistive fiber joins is small, but they will be hotter on the front face than the back face and will sublimate faster. Comparing this model to our flight data shows that the fraction must be both small (<1% of fiber mass) and at very high temperature, approaching 3000 K. Compare to the observed temperatures about 500 K lower. A constraint on this model is that the sails show no melting effects from the flights. One would expect that the nodes where the excess heating occurs will have a changed appearance, such as singeing and blackening.



FIGURE 3 Liftoff of C-C Sail Under 10 kW Microwave Power.

However, no such signs are evident from the microphotography of the sails. We conclude that carbon sublimation pressure is the mechanism only if such very hot regions exist in sufficient numbers. If sublimation is the explanation, we need a new generation of carbon sails without hotspots.

Possibly there is yet another unsuspected mechanism at work. The most plausible explanation is evaporation of absorbed molecules. This serious candidate is evaporation of physisorbed water vapor, chemisorbed hydrocarbons (C_n , H_m) and chemisorbed hydrogen. Although we used a bakeout procedure which heats the sail to ~ 1000 K for 100 seconds, this may not be enough. Our sails are driven to very high temperatures, exceeding 2000K, where evolution of absorbed molecules is greatly enhanced. Elevating the sail temperature for longer periods, to drive off water and hydrocarbons and to suppress subsequent adsorption rates, should reduce such contaminants. In principle, this can be accomplished through either an extended bake-out of the entire apparatus, or by heating the sail alone. The two essential conditions for this latter approach are that

- sufficient time at high temperature must be allowed to effect an initial decontamination. The more tightly bound chemisorbed hydrocarbons and hydrogen molecules require sail temperatures exceeding 400°C to be driven out.
- the microwave beam must be generated while the sail is too hot to allow re-condensation.

As of the time of this report, the most plausible explanation for the observed accelerations greater than gravity is evaporation of absorbed molecules (water, hydrocarbons and hydrogen) that are very difficult to remove entirely. The implication is that this effect will always occur in real sails and must be understood and anticipated in future sail experiments and missions. This includes not only microwave-driven sails, but also laser-driven and solar sails. Those planning sail demonstrations in orbit should be aware that the sun striking a sail could evolve molecules from the surface as well as impart photon momentum. This may be a serious source of error in all sail thrust experiments.

CONCLUSIONS

An intense microwave beam has driven an ultralight carbon sail to liftoff and flight against gravity. Photon pressure, while certainly present, is insufficient to account for the observed effect. The acceleration calculated from sublimation at sail observed temperatures does not give thrusts sufficient to explain the observed acceleration unless there are very small hot spots in sufficient numbers. Other mechanisms have also been discounted.

Our on-going experiments will explore this new experimental regime further with emphasis on quantifying microwave-induced thrust and then the critical issue of sail stability during powered plight.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Advanced Concepts Office, Office of Space Science, National Aeronautics and Space Administration through the Jet Propulsion Laboratory; Advanced Concepts—Technology Innovations project office. We thank Bob Forward, Richard Dickinson and Neville Marzwell for helpful comments and support.

REFERENCES

- Benford, J., et. al., "Interim Final Report, Wireless Power Transmission For Science Applications", Microwave Sciences (2000)
- Cravey R. L. et. al., "Electromagnetic Losses in Metallized Thin Films for Inflatable Radiometer Applications", AIAA 95-3741 (1995)
- Forward, R. L., "Roundtrip Interstellar Travel Using Laser-Pushed Light Sails", *J. Spacecraft*, **21**, 187, (1984).
- Forward, R. L., "Starwisp: An Ultra-Light Interstellar Probe," *J. Spacecraft*, **22**, 345 (1985).
- Myrabo, L., "Laser Sail Technology Demonstration", Final Technical Report, Dept. Mech. Eng., Rensselaer Poly. Inst., (2000)